



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,147	02/08/2002	Martin Kling	J010-3464	J010-3464 8201	
28752	7590 11/14/2005		EXAMINER		
LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP LACKENBACH SIEGEL BUILDING			MEI, XU		
1 CHASE RO	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCARSDALE	E, NY 10583		2644		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/049,147	KLING, MARTIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Xu Mei	2644	

	Xu Mei	2644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication for complication for the periods: The period for reply expires	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fig.	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-C		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11 and 12. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7, 10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper .	Xu Mei Primary Examiner	•
		Art Unit: 2644	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly added limitation to claim 1 changed the scopes of the claims and required further search and consideration. Applicant's argument filed 10/13/2005 is considered but deemed not persuasive since all the limitations of the claims being argued are being addressed and met in the previous final rejection.